Association between estrogen receptor 1 (*ESR1*) and leukemia inhibitory factor (*LIF*) polymorphisms can help in the prediction of recurrent implantation failure

Laura Diniz Vagnini, B.Sc.,^a Adriana Renzi, Ph.D.,^a Bruna Petersen, B.Sc.,^a Maria do Carmo Tomitão Canas, B.Sc.,^a Claudia Guilhermino Petersen, Ph.D.,^{a,b} Ana Lucia Mauri, B.Sc.,^{a,b} Mariana Coltri Mattila, B.Sc.,^b Juliana Ricci, R.N.,^b Felipe Dieamant, M.D.,^{a,b} João Batista Alcantara Oliveira, M.D., Ph.D.,^{a,b} Ricardo Luiz Razera Baruffi, M.D.,^{a,b} and José Gonçalves Franco Jr., M.D., Ph.D.,^{a,b}

^a Paulista Center for Diagnosis, Research and Training; ^b Center for Human Reproduction Prof. Franco Jr, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil

Objective: To investigate an association between polymorphisms related to the implantation process that together could help in the prediction of recurrent implantation failure (RIF).

Design: Cohort study.

Setting: Private fertility center and reproductive genetics laboratory.

Patient(s): Forty-four women presenting RIF, who were included in study group (RIF group), and two control groups, one with 63 women who were attended at our service and became pregnant after the first IVF/intracytoplasmic sperm injection attempt (control group I) and other with 65 fertile women who had at least two children without any treatment and no history of miscarriage (control group II). **Intervention(s):** None.

Main Outcome Measure(s): Genotyping was performed in the intron region of *TP63*, *VEGFA*, *MMP2*, *ESR1*, and *ESR2* genes and in the 3' untranslated region of the *LIF* gene on genomic DNA using real-time polymerase chain reaction.

Result(s): The presence of *ESR1/AA* (rs12199722) and *LIF/GT* (rs929271) genotypes was more frequent in the RIF group, leading to a 7.9-fold increase in the chance of women presenting with RIF when compared with women who became pregnant on their first cycle of IVF/intracytoplasmic sperm injection and a 2.8-fold increase when compared with women who became pregnant without treatment. **Conclusion(s):** The association between *ESR1* and *LIF* polymorphisms can help in the prediction of RIF. (Fertil Steril[®] 2019;111: 527–34. ©2018 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)

El resumen está disponible en Español al final del artículo.

Key Words: ESR1, LIF, recurrent implantation failure (RIF), IVF/ICSI

Discuss: You can discuss this article with its authors and other readers at https://www.fertstertdialog.com/users/16110-fertility-and-sterility/posts/40927-26775

- Received August 4, 2018; revised October 19, 2018; accepted November 12, 2018; published online January 2, 2019.
- L.D.V. has nothing to disclose. A.R. has nothing to disclose. B.P. has nothing to disclose. M.C.T.C. has nothing to disclose. C.G.P. has nothing to disclose. A.L.M. has nothing to disclose. M.C.M. has nothing to disclose. J.R. has nothing to disclose. F.D. has nothing to disclose. J.B.A.O. has nothing to disclose. R.L.R.B. has nothing to disclose. J.G.F. has nothing to disclose.
- This research was supported by the Merck Serono Grant for Fertility Innovation (2014). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Reprint requests: Laura Diniz Vagnini, B.Sc., Paulista Center for Diagnosis, Research and Training, Rua Capitao Adelmio Norberto da Silva, 590 – CEP: 14025670 Ribeirao Preto/SP, Brazil (E-mail: vagninilaura@cpdp.com.br).

Fertility and Sterility® Vol. 111, No. 3, March 2019 0015-0282/\$36.00 Copyright ©2018 American Society for Reproductive Medicine, Published by Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.11.016 mplantation is a multifactorial event in which the embryo attaches itself to the luminal surface of the endometrium, followed by migration and invasion into its deep layer, becoming closely connected to the maternal endometrial surface to form the placenta (1). This poorly understood system represents a critical step in the reproductive process (2), and it depends on the interplay between the blastocyst and the endometrium (3). A receptive endometrium, a normal and functional embryo at the blastocyst developmental stage, and a synchronized dialogue between maternal and embryonic tissues are required for successful implantation (3). Implantation failure is considered to have occurred if there is a lack of ultrasonography evidence of an intrauterine gestational sac. It may occur very early (during attachment or migration states) or later, after successful migration of the embryo through the luminal surface of the endometrium, with the disruption of the process before the formation of an intrauterine gestational sac, owing to embryo or endometrial factors (4).

Recurrent implantation failure (RIF) is a clinical condition in which implantation has repeatedly failed to reach a stage recognizable by ultrasonography (4, 5). Although RIF is the most common cause of unsuccessful pregnancy after assisted reproductive technology, there is no consensus on its definition, despite many publications on this topic (4–9). There are many causes of RIF, among which we can mention gamete/embryo factors (oocyte quality, sperm quality, parental chromosomal anomalies), maternal age, uterine factors (congenital uterine anomalies, acquired intracavity conditions), hydrosalpinx, immunologic factors, thrombophilic conditions, hormonal or metabolic disorders, genetic abnormalities (4-7). In women with and unexplained implantation failure, despite good hormonal response, good-quality embryos, satisfactory endometrial development, and no identifiable pathology, suboptimal endometrial receptivity is considered a key factor in inhibiting embryo implantation (2). The implantation process requires the interaction of at least four types of cells: stromal, epithelial, endothelial, and immune cells (10).

Because genetic factors seem to be highly associated with RIF (11), a growing number of candidate genes have been investigated concerning their potential roles in that etiology. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have being used as markers owing to their large number in virtually all populations, improving the ability to diagnose RIF, thereby increasing a couple's chance of conception. In preliminary analysis, we selected some reproduction-related genes to identify SNPs that could be important to the implantation process. Using next-generation sequencing we identified six polymorphisms that were somehow related to RIF and were used in this study: tumor protein p63 (TP63) rs12486772, vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) rs3025010, matrix metallopeptidase 2 (MMP2) rs2287076, estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) rs12199722, estrogen receptor 2 (ESR2) rs1952585, and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) rs929271.

It is known that the *TP63* gene is a regulator of the quality and maturation of oocytes (12). In addition, Feng et al. (13) suggested that TP63 may have distinct functions in reproduction other than maintaining female germ cell integrity, because they identified an association of one SNP with both young and older patients. The *VEGFA* gene is important for almost every aspect of blood vessel formation and function, mediating angiogenesis and vasculogenesis (14). It has been shown that polymorphisms in the *VEGFA* gene correlate with variation in VEGFA protein production (15), which could result in decreased angiogenesis and blastocyst invasion, leading to implantation failure. The *MMP2* gene belongs to a family of zinc-dependent proteinases (MMPs) that can be found widely distributed in human tissues. They are able to degrade nearly all extracellular matrix proteins and are necessary for cell migration, the healing process, development, scar formation, and other tissue changes (16). They also play important roles in reproductive physiology, remodeling extracellular matrix during ovarian follicular growth and ovulation (17). ESR1 and ESR2 genes are responsible for codifying two estrogen receptors (ER α and ER β , respectively), which mediate estrogen (E) effects that are well known on follicle growth, maturation, oocyte release (18), and endometrial preparation for implantation (19). The LIF protein is an important mediator of embryo implantation (20), and the influence of polymorphisms in the LIF gene has been analyzed by several groups, helping in the understanding of the implantation process. High concentration of LIF in follicular fluid has been correlated with good embryo quality, suggesting its role in ovulation and early embryonic development (21). It was also associated with embryo implantation and endometrial receptivity, being part of a highly coordinated orchestra of the reproductive process (22). Levels of LIF protein are increased at the time of expected implantation in fertile women (23). On the other hand, lower LIF levels have been associated with women presenting with unexplained infertility (24, 25).

Genetic factors combined or alone can affect the implantation process; therefore, our objective was to identify the best association between six polymorphisms (*TP63* rs12486772, *VEGFA* rs3025010, *MMP2* rs2287076, *ESR1* rs12199722, *ESR2* rs1952585, and *LIF* rs929271) that could help in the prediction of RIF.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Ethical Approval

The study was authorized by the local ethics committee (the Ethics Committee in Research of the Reference Center for Women Health; project reference: 045/11) and was carried out according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all recruited subjects.

Study Population

A cohort study was conducted with blood samples from 44 infertile women (aged 35.3 ± 2.6 years [mean \pm SD]) presenting RIF and two different control groups: group I, 63 women (aged 33.3 ± 4.1 years); and group II, 65 women (aged 52.2 ± 10.7 years). The study population consisted of patients who were subjected to IVF/intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) protocols recruited at our Human Reproduction Center between January 2014 and December 2016 (RIF group). Recurrent implantation failure was defined as failure to achieve pregnancy after three or more IVF/ICSI attempts and five or more cleavage-stage good morphological quality embryos transferred (4, 5). All women were \leq 39 year old (at the time of the ETS) and had normal couple karyotype. The exclusion criteria were defined as the presence of uterine defects by

ultrasound, hysterosalpingography, and hysteroscopy, evidence of hydrosalpinx by ultrasonography, infections, endocrine problems, coagulation defects or thrombophilia, and autoimmune defects (including antiphospholipid anti-bodies).

The enrolment criteria for the control group I included patients who were subject to IVF/ICSI protocols at the same center and became pregnant on the first attempt and had at least one live birth. Control group II included fertile women (postmenopausal volunteers) with no history of miscarriage or infertility treatment who had at least two live births. The inclusion criteria of postmenopausal volunteers had the purpose to avoid possible miscarriages or future infertility problems after recruitment for the study. These inclusion criteria were based on published research (26, 27). None of the patients in the study group or control group I underwent PGS/PGTA (preimplantation genetic screening/preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy) in their treatments.

All women recruited for this study were Brazilian, from all over the country. Despite the high rate of interracial marriage in the Brazilian population, most patients and healthy study participants described their skin color as "white."

DNA Samples and Genotyping

Genomic DNA for the entire studied population was extracted from peripheral blood samples using the QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions.

Nucleotide changes were evaluated in duplicate by realtime polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using individual Taq-Man SNP genotyping assays (Thermo Fisher) for each SNP (TP63 rs12486772, VEGFA rs3025010, MMP2 rs2287076, ESR1 rs12199722, ESR2 rs1952585, and LIF rs929271) and TaqPath ProAmp Master Mix, following the manufacturer's instructions, on a StepOne Real-time PCR System. The PCR conditions were as follows: 60°C for 30 seconds (pre-read); 95°C for 5 minutes (initial denature, enzyme activation), 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds (denature), and 60°C for 1 minute (anneal/extend). Genotyping results were validated and confirmed by the automatic sequencer XL 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using 20 samples of each genotype from each polymorphism (normal homozygous, heterozygous, and mutated homozygous) selected randomly. For the minor allele frequency of each polymorphism, all genotypes were sequenced.

Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using StatsDirect statistical software version 2.7.9 software. The following parameters were evaluated for each group analyzed: the woman's age, body mass index, time of infertility, cause of infertility, semen parameters, endometrial thickness, total dose of recombinant FSH, number of oocytes retrieved, number of oocytes retrieved in metaphase II, and fertilization rate. The differences in the frequencies of the SNPs genotypes, alleles, or both, in the RIF group and control groups were also evaluated.

To compare the means of continuous variables, the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test was used if the contin-

uous variables were not normally distributed, and Student's t test and one-way analysis of variance were used if the continuous variables were normally distributed. The results are expressed as the arithmetic mean \pm SD. For categorical variables, Fisher's exact test was used, and the results are expressed as percentages. In addition, logistic regression analysis was used to determine a significant association between all polymorphisms as a tool in the prediction of RIF. All statistical tests were considered significant at a level of P < .05.

RESULTS

Table 1 represents the main characteristics of study participants. The genotype and allele frequencies of each SNP (TP63 rs12486772, VEGFA rs3025010, MMP2 rs2287076, ESR2 rs1952585, ESR1 rs12199722, and LIF rs929271) are presented in Table 2. The TP63 polymorphism showed no significant difference between the studied groups. Although there was no difference between the VEGFA genotypes (rs3025010), the T allele was more frequent in control group II. MMP2/TC genotype frequency was significantly higher in the RIF group compared with control group II. The ESR2/ AG genotype was more frequent in control group II. The *ESR1/AA* genotype was significantly higher in the RIF group when compared with both control groups. On the other hand, the ESR1/AG genotype was significantly higher in both control groups. In the RIF group, the frequency of the LIF/GT genotype was significantly higher when compared with control group I; however, the LIF/GG genotype was significantly higher in control group I. When these six polymorphisms were used in combination with each other, we found that the association of the LIF/GT and ESR1/AA genotypes had a 7.9-fold increase in the chance of women being included in the RIF group when compared with women in control group I and a 2.8-fold increase when compared with women in control group II (Table 3). The other SNPs combinations did not show a significant association.

DISCUSSION

Because RIF is a clinical condition caused by diverse genetic and environmental factors, SNPs in some of these genes may affect individual susceptibility to RIF at different levels (28). This study demonstrated that, of the six polymorphisms investigated here, three showed a significant association with women presenting RIF when analyzed one by one.

It has already been shown that *TP63* is associated with different reproductive functions (12, 13). Additionally, an association of one SNP (rs12486772) of this gene and women presenting RIF was shown in our preliminary analysis using next-generation sequencing, being the reason for using this SNP in this work. Here we did not find any association between the *TP63* polymorphism (A>G, rs12486772) and the three groups studied, perhaps owing to the limited number of women involved in this study.

In relation to the *VEGFA* gene, we have previously reported an association of the *VEGFA* -1154 G/A (rs1570360) polymorphism and RIF (29), but in the present study the SNP *VEGFA* (T>C, rs3025010) was not correlated to the group of women presenting RIF, even though an association

TABLE 1

Main characteristics of patients presenting RIF and control groups (I and II).

Characteristic	RIF group (n = 44)	Control group I (n = 63)	Control group II (n = 65)	<i>P</i> value
Age (y)	$35.3\pm2.6^{a,b}$	$33.3\pm4.1^{\text{a,c}}$	52.2 ± 10.7 ^{b,c}	.004 ^a
Total of ET	11.6 ± 5.8	2.0 ± 0.4	NA	<.0001 ^{b,c} <.0001
Total of implantation failure	4.8 ± 1.8	0	NA	
BMI (kg/m ²)	$23.0 \pm 4.9^{a,b}$	24.0 ± 4.0 ^{a,c}	28.1 ± 4.6 ^{b,c}	.46 ^a
	20.0 ± 1.0	21.0 ± 1.0	20.1 ± 1.0	.22 ^b
				.26 ^c
Time of infertility (y)	4.6 ± 2.9	4.1 ± 3.0	NA	.25
Cause of infertility				
Idiopathic	16 (36.4)	17 (27.0)	NA	_
Male	13 (29.5)	26 (41.2)	NA	_
Endometriosis	5 (11.4)	8 (12.7)	NA	_
Tuboperitoneal	5 (11.4)	8 (12.7)	NA	.81
Tuboperitoneal + endometriosis	2 (4.5)	1 (1.6)	NA	_
Male + endometriosis	2 (4.5)	2 (3.2)	NA	_
Male + tuboperitoneal	1 (2.3)	1 (1.6)	NA	_
Semen parameters				
Total sperm count (×10 ⁶ /mL) ^d	74.4 ± 55.3	62.8 ± 57.9	NA	.25
Motility (%) ^d	58.6 ± 20.4	56.8 ± 15.6	NA	.11
Normal spermatozoa (%) ^e	0.7 ± 1.0	0.5 ± 0.7	NA	.51
DNA fragmentation (%) [†]	13.0 ± 6.6	13.7 ± 8.3	NA	.86
Underprotamination (%) ⁹	57.7 ± 13.4	57.7 ± 13.6	NA	.96
Apoptosis (%) ^h	18.4 ± 5.5	20.6 ± 6.3	NA	.13
Endometrial thickness (mm)	10.7 ± 3.0	11.5 ± 3.2	NA	.62
Total dose r-FSH (IU)	$2,181 \pm 719$	$2,032 \pm 651$	NA	.25
Retrieved oocytes (n)	9.1 ± 5.4	10.2 ± 5.7	NA	.44
Oocytes in metaphase II (n)	7.0 ± 4.1	7.7 ± 4.3	NA	.89
Fertilization rate (%)	73.4 ± 19.4	74.4 ± 20	NA	.91

Comparison between RIF group and Control group I. ^b Comparison between RIF group and Control group II

^c Comparison between Control group I and Control group II.

According to the World Health Organization (39)

^e According to motile sperm organelle morphology examination (40). ^f The percentages of DNA fragmentation by TUNEL assay.

Abnormal chromatin packaging by chromomycin A3.

^h Apoptosis by Annexin-V.

Vagnini. Association between ESR1/LIF and RIF. Fertil Steril 2018.

between the T allele and women who had a child without any fertility treatment was identified.

MMP2 has been demonstrated to act in the implantation window (period of maximal endometrial receptivity, 6-9 days after ovulation), and women presenting idiopathic infertility have higher expression and activity of it (30). In our study, we showed that women with the MMP2/TC genotype (T>C, rs2287076) had a higher chance of presenting RIF when compared with women who had children without any fertility treatment.

Additionally, we found that patients with the *ESR1/AA* genotype (A>G, rs12199722) tend to present RIF, and women with the *ESR1/AG* genotype are more likely to have children after the first IVF/ICSI cycle or with no treatment. The ESR2/ AG genotype (rs1952585) was prevalent in women who had a child without treatment. The uterus is a primary target of estrogen for various functions during the reproductive cycle and pregnancy; estrogen activates a wide array of tissueand organ-specific physiologic responses by binding to its receptor, and modulating uterine events, preparing the endometrium for embryo attachment and implantation (31). Single nucleotide polymorphisms in these genes could modify

estrogen action, modifying the way the endocrine system acts in the implantation process. Gene-array analysis revealed more than 300 affected genes in patients with IVF failure, 8% of them being estrogen-dependent (32). We did not find any other study associating these polymorphisms and infertility, although its association has been already analyzed with some others disorders, such as restrictive anorexia nervosa (33) and colorectal cancer (34).

In our previous study we found an association between the LIF (rs929271) polymorphism and implantation efficiency and pregnancy outcomes (35). In this study we strengthen the information that women with the GG genotype have more chance of becoming pregnant after the first attempt of IVF/ICSI, and women with the GT genotype tend to present RIF.

Five of the six polymorphisms studied here were located in intronic regions (TP63 rs12486772, VEGFA rs3025010, MMP2 rs2287076, ESR1 rs12199722, and ESR2 rs1952 585). Evidence of different studies suggests that some intronic regions contain transcription factor binding sites that may be important for the regulation of expression and/or alternative splicing of the genes (36). This

TABLE 2

Genotype and allele frequencies.							
	RIF group	Control group I	_ .	RIF group	Control group II		
SNP	(n = 44)	(n = 63)	P value	(n = 44)	(n = 65)	P value	
TP63 (rs12486772)							
Genotype AA	30 (68.2)	40 (63.5)	.26	30 (68.2)	35 (53.8)	.29	
AG	11 (25.0)	22 (34.9)	.20	11 (25.0)	26 (40.0)	.29	
GG	03 (6.8)	01 (1.6)		03 (6.8)	04 (6.2)		
Allele			>.99			.31	
А	71 (80.7)	102 (81)		71 (80.7)	96 (73.8)		
G	17 (19.3)	24 (19)		17 (19.3)	34 (26.2)		
VEGFA (rs3025010)			47			0.5	
Genotype	14 (31.8)	22 (34.9)	.17	14 (31.8)	35 (53.9)	.06	
TT CT	14 (31.8) 19 (43.2)	22 (34.9) 34 (54.0)		14 (31.8) 19 (43.2)	22 (33.8)		
CC	11 (25.0)	07 (11.1)		11 (25.0)	08 (12.3)		
Allele	11 (20.0)	07 (11.1)	.27	11 (20.0)	00(12.3)	.01	
Т	47 (53.4)	78 (61.9)		47 (53.4)	92 (70.8)		
С	41 (46.6)	48 (38.1)		41 (46.6)	38 (29.2)		
MMP2 (rs2287076)							
Genotype	40 (40 0)	40 (20 2)	.36	40 (40 0)		.01	
TT	18 (40.9)	19 (30.2)		18 (40.9)	39 (60.0)		
TC CC	24 (54.6) 02 (4.5)	37 (58.7) 07 (11.1)		24 (54.6) 02 (4.5)	17 (26.2) 09 (13.8)		
Allele	02 (4.3)	07 (11.1)	.25	02 (4.3)	09 (15.0)	.53	
T	60 (68.2)	75 (59.5)	.23	60 (68.2)	95 (73.1)		
С	28 (31.8)	51 (40.5)		28 (31.8)	35 (26.9)		
<i>ESR2</i> (rs1952585)							
Genotype			.51			.02	
AA	34 (77.3)	46 (73.0)		34 (77.3)	40 (61.5)		
AG GG	08 (18.2) 02 (4.5)	16 (25.4) 01 (1.6)		08 (18.2) 02 (4.5)	25 (38.5) 00 (0.0)		
Allele	02 (4.3)	01 (1.0)	>.99	02 (4.3)	00 (0.0)	.37	
A	76 (86.4)	108 (85.7)	2.55	76 (86.4)	105 (80.8)	.57	
G	12 (13.6)	18 (14.3)		12 (13.6)	25 (19.2)		
ESR1 (rs12199722)							
Genotype			.02			.01	
AA	28 (63.6)	25 (39.7)		28 (63.6)	22 (33.8)		
AG GG	13 (29.6)	35 (55.5)		13 (29.6)	33 (50.8)		
Allele	03 (6.8)	03 (4.8)	.11	03 (6.8)	10 (15.4)	.005	
A	69 (78.4)	85 (67.5)		69 (78.4)	77 (59.2)	.005	
G	19 (21.6)	41 (32.5)		19 (21.6)	53 (40.8)		
<i>LIF</i> (rs929271)	× ,	× ,		· · ·	× ,		
Genotype			.003			.05	
TT	10 (22.7)	24 (38.1)		10 (22.7)	29 (44.6)		
GT	32 (72.7)	26 (41.3)		32 (72.7)	33 (50.8)		
GG Allele	02 (4.6)	13 (20.6)	>.99	02 (4.6)	03 (4.6)	.13	
T	52 (59.1)	74 (58.7)	2.99	52 (59.1)	91 (70.0)	.15	
G	36 (40.9)	52 (41.3)		36 (40.9)	39 (30.0)		
Note: Values are number (percenta	. ,						

Vagnini. Association between ESR1/LIF and RIF. Fertil Steril 2018.

untranslated regions affects *cis*-acting elements that control messenger RNA stability, translation efficiency (including micro-RNA biding sites), and messenger RNA localization (37). The last polymorphism (*LIF* rs929271) was located in the 3' untranslated region, which may affect the gene expression, transcription factor biding, sequencing of RNA that is noncoding, and gene splicing (38). Although we do not know exactly the way each polymorphism acts, we could see that they affect in some way the function of the gene. Gene expression studies may help elucidate how each of these polymorphisms may influence the action of the genes.

Even though the VEGFA (rs3025010), MMP2 (rs2287076), and ESR2 (rs1952585) polymorphisms showed significant difference when analyzed separately, the association between them and other polymorphisms studied here did not present a significant difference, and further studies with related genes are necessary for a better understanding of this genetic pathway that may influence endometrial receptivity. However, the association between the *LIF* (rs929271) and *ESR1* (rs12199722) polymorphisms seemed to be significantly related to RIF, with a 7.9-fold increase in the chance of women present RIF when compared with women with background of successful IVF/ICSI treatment and 2.8-fold increase when

TABLE 3

Association between ESR1 (12199722) and LIF (929271) polymorphisms in the risk of RIF.

Variable	RIF group (n = 44)	Control group I $(n = 63)$	P Value	OR	95% CI
ESR1/AA + LIF/GT Other genotypes combinations	20 (45.5) 24 (54.5)	06 (9.5) 57 (90.5)	<.0001	7.9	2.6–26.6
ESR1/AA + LIF/GT Other genotypes combinations	RIF group (n = 44) 20 (45.5) 24 (54.5)	Control group II (n = 65) 15 (23.0) 50 (77.0)	.02	2.8	1.1–6.9

Note: Values are number (percentage). CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio.

Vagnini. Association between ESR1/LIF and RIF. Fertil Steril 2018.

compared with women with spontaneous fertility. This association, after further studies to confirm the results, could be used as an extra tool by physicians to indicate alternative treatments, such as oocyte or embryo donation. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that shows that this association can influence the implantation process.

In conclusion, in this work we demonstrated that there is an association between the ESR1 (rs12199722) and LIF (rs929271) polymorphisms and women presenting RIF. Although isolated SNPs were more significant among women with a background of RIF compared with women with spontaneous fertility, the ESR1/LIF association was stronger between women with a background of RIF compared with women with a background of successful IVF/ICSI treatment. Because the basic idea is to increase the chance of attaining a correct diagnosis of RIF, further validation of this association is required (i.e., increasing the number of cases between other fertility centers; expanding to different ethnic groups) to provide more information regarding the potential use of it. Additionally, the understanding of the way that each polymorphism acts in the implantation process would be enlightened with studies of gene expression.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aplin JD. The cell biological basis of human implantation. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2000;14:757–64.
- Fatemi HM, Popovic-Todorovic B. Implantation in assisted reproduction: a look at endometrial receptivity. Reprod Biomed Online 2013;27:530–8.
- Simón C, Martín JC, Pellicer A. Paracrine regulators of implantation. Baillieres Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2000;14:815–26.
- Coughlan C, Ledger W, Wang Q, Liu F, Demirol A, Gurgan T, et al. Recurrent implantation failure: definition and management. Reprod Biomed Online 2014;28:14–38.
- Polanski LT, Baumgarten MN, Quenby S, Brosens J, Campbell BK, Raine-Fenning NJ. What exactly do we mean by "recurrent implantation failure"? A systematic review and opinion. Reprod Biomed Online 2014;28:409–23.
- Vlachadis N, Vrachnis N, Economou E, Siristatidis C. Zooming in on the definition of "recurrent implantation failure. Reprod Biomed Online 2014;29:144–5.
- Timeva T, Shterev A, Kyurkchiev S. Recurrent implantation failure: the role of the endometrium. J Reprod Infertil 2014;15:173–83.
- Rinehart J. Recurrent implantation failure: definition. J Assist Reprod Genet 2007;24:284–7.
- El-Toukhy T, Taranissi M. Towards better quality research in recurrent implantation failure: standardizing its definition is the first step. Reprod Biomed Online 2006;12:383–5.

- Strowitzki T, Germeyer A, Popovici R, Von Wolff M. The human endometrium as a fertility-determining factor. Hum Reprod Update 2006;12:617–30.
- 11. Christiansen OB, Nielsen HS, Kolte AM. Future directions of failed implantation and recurrent miscarriage research. Reprod Biomed Online 2006;13:71–83.
- Suh EK, Yang A, Kettenbach A, Bamberger C, Michaelis AH, Zhu Z, et al. p63 protects the female germ line during meiotic arrest. Nature 2006;444: 624–8.
- Feng Z, Zhang C, Kang HJ, Sun Y, Wang H, Naqvi A, et al. Regulation of female reproduction by p53 and its family members. FASEB J 2011;25: 2245–55.
- 14. Bautch VL. VEGF-directed blood vessel patterning: from cells to organism. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2012;2:1–12.
- Brogan IJ, Khan N, Isaac K, Hutchinson JA, Pravica V, Hutchinson IV. Novel polymorphisms in the promoter and 5' UTR regions of the human vascular endothelial growth factor gene. Hum Immunol 1999;60: 1245–9.
- Fatar M, Stroick M, Steffens M, Senn E, Reuter B, Bukow S, et al. Singlenucleotide polymorphisms of MMP-2 gene in stroke subtypes. Cerebrovasc Dis 2008;26:113–9.
- Robinson LLL, Sznajder NA, Riley SC, Anderson RA. Matrix metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases in human fetal testis and ovary. Mol Hum Reprod 2001;7:641–8.
- Goldenberg RL, Vaitukaitis JL, Ross GT. Estrogen and follicle stimulating hormone interactions on follicle growth in rats. Endocrinology 1972;90:1492–8.
- Speroff L, Fritz MA. Clinical gynecologic endocrinology and infertility. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005.
- Hu W, Feng Z, Teresky AK, Levine AJ. p53 regulates maternal reproduction through LIF. Nature 2007;450:2–6.
- Arici A, Oral E, Bahtiyar O, Engin O, Seli E, Jones EE. Leukaemia inhibitory factor expression in human follicular fluid and ovarian cells. Hum Reprod 1997;12:1233–9.
- 22. Altmäe S, Reimand J, Hovatta O, Zhang P, Kere J, Laisk T, et al. Research resource: interactome of human embryo implantation: identification of gene expression pathways, regulation, and integrated regulatory networks. Mol Endocrinol 2012;26:203–17.
- 23. Aghajanova L. Update on the role of leukemia inhibitory factor in assisted reproduction. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 2010;22:213–9.
- Laird SM, Tuckerman EM, Dalton CF, Dunphy BC, Li TC, Zhang X. The production of leukaemia inhibitory factor by human endometrium: presence in uterine flushings and production by cells in culture. Hum Reprod 1997; 12:569–74.
- Mikolajczyk M, Wirstlein P, Skrzypczak J. The impact of leukemia inhibitory factor in uterine flushing on the reproductive potential of infertile women a prospective study. Am J Reprod Immunol 2007;58:65–74.
- Al Sallout RJ, Sharif FA. Polymorphisms in NOS3, ACE and PAI-1 genes and risk of spontaneous recurrent miscarriage in the Gaza Strip. Med Princ Pract 2010;19:99–104.
- Pietrowski D, Bettendorf H, Riener E, Keck C, Hefler LA, Huber JC, et al. Recurrent pregnancy failure is associated with a polymorphism in the p53 tumour suppressor gene. Hum Reprod 2005;20:848–51.

- 28. Penzias AS. Recurrent IVF failure: other factors. Fertil Steril 2012;97: 1033–8.
- Vagnini LD, Nascimento AM, Canas MDCT, Renzi A, Oliveira-Pelegrin GR, Petersen CG, et al. The relationship between vascular endothelial growth factor 1154G/A polymorphism and recurrent implantation failure. Med Princ Pract 2015;24:533–7.
- Skrzypczak J, Wirstlein P, Mikoajczyk M, Ludwikowski G. TGF superfamily and *MMP2, MMP9, TIMP1* genes expression in the endometrium of women with impaired reproduction. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 2007;45: 143–8.
- Matsuzaki S, Fukaya T, Suzuki T, Murakami T, Sasano H, Yajima A. Oestrogen receptor alpha and beta mRNA expression in human endometrium throughout the menstrual cycle. Mol Hum Reprod 1999;5: 559–64.
- Koler M, Achache H, Tsafrir A, Smith Y, Revel A, Reich R. Disrupted gene pattern in patients with repeated in vitro fertilization (IVF) failure. Hum Reprod 2009;24:2541–8.
- Versini A, Ramoz N, Le Strat Y, Scherag S, Ehrlich S, Boni C, et al. Estrogen receptor 1 gene (ESR1) is associated with restrictive anorexia nervosa. Neuropsychopharmacology 2010;35:1818–25.

- Lin J, Zee RYL, Liu KY, Zhang SM, Lee IM, Manson JE, et al. Genetic variation in sex-steroid receptors and synthesizing enzymes and colorectal cancer risk in women. Cancer Causes Control 2010;21:897–908.
- Oliveira JBA, Vagnini LD, Petersen CG, Renzi A, Oliveira-Pelegrin GR, Mauri AL, et al. Association between leukaemia inhibitory factor gene polymorphism and pregnancy outcomes after assisted reproduction techniques. Reprod Biomed Online 2016;32:66–78.
- Tischer E, Mitchell R, Hartman T, Silva M, Gospodarowiczs D, Fiddes JC, et al. The human gene for vascular endothelial growth factor. J Biol Chem 1991; 266:11947–54.
- Wang G, Cooper TA. Splicing in disease: disruption of the splicing code and the decoding machinery. Nat Rev Genet 2007;8:749–61.
- Prokunina L, Alarcón-Riquelme ME. Regulatory SNPs in complex diseases: their identification and functional validation. Expert Rev Mol Med 2004;6:1–15.
- World Health Organization. WHO laboratory manual for the examination and processing of human semen. 5th ed. Geneva: WHO Press; 2010.
- Bartoov B, Berkovitz A, Eltes F, Kogosowski A, Menezo Y, Barak Y. Real-time fine morphology of motile human sperm cells is associated with IVF-ICSI outcome. J Androl 2002;23:1–8.

La asociación entre los polimorfismos del receptor 1 de estrógenos (ESR1) y el factor inhibidor de la leucemia (LIF) puede ayudar en la predicción del fallo recurrente de implantación

Objetivo: Investigar la asociación entre polimorfismos relacionados con el proceso de implantación que, conjuntamente, puedan ayudar en la predicción del Fallo Recurrente de Implantación (RIF).

Diseño: Estudio de cohortes.

Sitio: Centro privado de fertilidad y Laboratorio de genética reproductiva.

Paciente(s): Cuarenta y cuatro mujeres con RIF que fueron incluidas en el grupo de estudio (grupo RIF) y dos grupos control, uno con 63 mujeres que fueron atendidas en nuestro servicio y quedaron gestantes en el primer ciclo de FIV/inyección intracitoplasmática de espermatozoides (grupo control I), y otro grupo con 65 mujeres fértiles que habían tenido al menos dos hijos sin tratamientos de reproducción y sin historia de abortos (Grupo control II).

Intervención(es): Ninguna.

Medida del resultado(s) principal(es): Se realizó genotipado en la región intrónica de los genes TP63, VEGFA, MMP2, ESR1 y ESR2 y en la región 30 no traducida del gen LIF en DNA genómico utilizando reacción en cadena de la polimerasa en tiempo-real.

Resultado(s): La presencia de los genotipos ESR1/AA (rs12199722) y LIF/GT (rs929271) fue más frecuente en el grupo con RIF, llevando a un incremento de 7,9 veces en la probabilidad de presentar aborto cuando se compararon con las mujeres que consiguieron el embarazo en su primer ciclo de FIV/ICSI y a una probabilidad 2.8 veces mayor cuando se compararon con mujeres que quedaron gestantes sin tratamiento.

Conclusión(es): La asociación entre los polimorfismos ESR1 y LIF puede ayudar en la predicción de RIF.